

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/695,581	10/28/2003	Nusrallah Jubran	3216.31US01	5355
24113 75	90 • 08/19/2005	•	EXAMINER	
PATTERSON, THUENTE, SKAAR & CHRISTENSEN, P.A. 4800 IDS CENTER			GOODROW, JOHN L	
80 SOUTH 8TH			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MINNEAPOLI:	S, MN 55402-2100	,	1756	

DATE MAILED: 08/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	- fc
	10/695,581	JUBRAN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	John L. Goodrow	1756	
The MAILING DATE of this communica			SS
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicable. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. FOR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a recation. ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of third properties will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON, by statute, cause the application to become AB	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this commu	unication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on		
	☐ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for	allowance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the me	erits is
closed in accordance with the practice	under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims		ı	
··	liantian		
4) Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	withdrawn from consideration.		
6) Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-10,12-17 and 19-25</u> is/a	ro rejected		
7) Claim(s) 4,11,18 and 26 is/are objected			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restrictio			
are subject to restricte	and or crooker requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.	•	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	y the Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-1	52 .
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 8	\$ 119(a)-(d) or (f)	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	·	3 · · · · · (a) · · · · · · · ·	
1. Certified copies of the priority do	cuments have been received.		•
2. Certified copies of the priority do		application No.	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the			ge
application from the International			
* See the attached detailed Office action for	or a list of the certified copies not	received.	
		·	
Attachment(s)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- PTO-892) 	4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
 2) ☐ Notice of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO-1449) 		s/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152	2) .
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/04</u> .	6) Other:		•
S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 2	0050815

Art Unit: 1756

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3, 5-10, 12-17, 19-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tokarski [6768010] in view of Novel Energetic N-N Bonded Polymeric Binders for Composite Propellants. The applied reference has a common inventor and assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art only under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) might be overcome by: (1) a showing that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not an invention by another; (2) a showing of a date of invention for the claimed subject matter of the application which corresponds to subject matter disclosed but not claimed in the reference, prior to the effective date of the reference; (3) an oath or declaration under 37 CFR 1.130 stating that the application and reference are currently owned by the same party and that the inventor named in the application is the prior inventor together with a terminal disclaimer in accordance with 37 CFR 1.321(c). Tokarski et al teaches as a charge transport material a hydrazone and a terminal epoxy. The methylene group linkage is taught in the secondary reference note page 1019. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of

Application/Control Number: 10/695,581 Page 3

Art Unit: 1756

applicants' invention with a reasonable expectation of success to use the linkage of the epoxy group and hydrazone group as taught in the secondary reference as the linkage for a charge transport material of Tokarski to maintain the unsaturated linkage between the groups and improve the charge transporting ability of the material. **Double**

Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1-3,5-10,12-17,19-25 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-26 of copending Application No. 10/699364. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both teach a hydrazone charge transport material with a phenothiazine group.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Art Unit: 1756

4. Claims 4, 11, 18 & 26 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John L. Goodrow whose telephone number is 571-272-1384. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday -Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark F. Huff can be reached on 571-272-1385. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

.

John L Goodrow

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1756
